

United States Patent and Trademark Office

min V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,698	08/05/2003	Donald E. Marigliano	G08.028/U	7559
28062	7590 02/19/2009		EXAMINER	
BUCKLEY,	MASCHOFF & TAL	WALKAR LLC		
50 LOCUST A	AVENUE AN, CT 06840		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Votific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/634,698	MARIGLIANO, D	ONALD E.			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		LEMIEUX, JESSICA	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The Ap	peal Brief filed on 12 August 2008 is defective for	or failure to comply with one or m	ore provisions of	f 37 CFR 41.37.			
1205.03	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	the mailing date of this Notification					
1.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛛	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):						
	Item 5. The "Grounds of Rejection" section must be consistent with the Grounds of rejection listed in the last office action. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified.						
		/Sharmalla Coates/ Sharmalla Coates, Supervi Patent Appeal Center	isor	·			